

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

---

<b>SECURITY and DATA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,</b>	:	<b>CIVIL ACTION</b>
	:	
<b>Plaintiff,</b>	:	
	:	
<b>v.</b>	:	<b>No. 12-2393</b>
	:	
<b>SCHOOL DISTRICT OF PHILADELPHIA, et al.,</b>	:	
	:	
<b>Defendants.</b>	:	
	:	

---

**VERDICT SHEET**

1. Has Security and Data proven by a preponderance of the evidence that race was a determinative factor for any of the Defendants in the decision to deny Security and Data the award of the camera project contract?

School District of Philadelphia: Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

Philadelphia School Reform Commission: Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

Arlene Ackerman: Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

**(If you answered yes to question 1 as to any defendant, please proceed to question 2. If, as to all defendants, your answer to question 1 is no, please return to the courtroom).**

2. Please state the appropriate amount of damages that should be awarded to Security and Data.

\$ \_\_\_\_\_

---

**DATE**

---

**JURY FOREPERSON**

**(Please return to the courtroom with your verdict.)**